Illinois Institute for Regulatory Policy Studies

### Future Jobs, Future Costs, and Future Usage: Reconciling Higher Costs And Lower Usage

Susan L. Satter Public Utilities Counsel Illinois Attorney General's Office April 27, 2017



# **Effects of FEJA Widespread**

- Three major subjects addressed in the bill:
  - 1) Zero Emission Credits (ZECs)
  - 2) Energy Efficiency
  - 3) Renewable Energy/Solar Rebates
- Benefits, opportunities, costs and impacts vary considerably:
  - On industrial, commercial, and residential consumer
  - On utilities, generators, competitors, developers
  - On jobs
- Impacts are additive to Smart Grid investment

# **Zero Emission Credits**

### > ZEC volume

Consumer cost tracks consumer consumption: larger consumers of energy, larger cost
20 ILCS 3855/1-75(d-5)(1)

### ZEC price cap

- 1.65% of 2008-09 kilowatthour price
  - 20 ILCS 3855/1-75(d-5)(3)

### ComEd draft tariff: 0.195¢/kwh all customers

 $_{\circ}~$  ComEd, ICC No.10, Orig. Sheet No.37, eff. June 1, 2017

#### Price per kwh may vary among utilities:

- Ameren 0.172¢/kwh
- Mid American 0.124¢/kwh

### Bill Impact – Residential Consumers

#### Residential ZEC cost range:

- Large electric space heat customers \$46.00/yr (75 percentile)
- Small Multi-family non-space heat cust. \$4.50/yr (25 percentile)
- Comparison to Smart Grid Law Investments increases from 2016 to 2017\*
  - Large electric space heat customers \$47.47/yr
  - Multi-family non-space heat customers \$4.61/yr
- Cumulative distribution bill increases for ComEd since 2012
  - Large space heat customers \$264.52 (32%)
  - Multi-family non-space heat customers \$50.81 (23%)

\*Source: ComEd Smart Grid Advanced Metering Annual Implementation Progress Report, April 2017 & April 2013

# **ZECs and Jobs**

- Total revenue, or financial benefit to owner of nuclear plants: \$230 million per year, subject to adjustment based on future energy prices and capacity prices.
  20 ILCS 3855/1-75(d-5)(3).
- Nuclear Jobs: 700 and 750 plant jobs at Clinton and Quad Cities preserved, plus seasonal employees.
- Consumers effectively pay Exelon a little more than \$150,000 per plant job per year.

# **Energy Efficiency**

 Substantial increase in spending on EE expected by ComEd. In 2017, EE expense = \$261 million (utilities, DCEO, IPA)

Year	ComEd Rate Base	Total
2018-2021	\$353 million/year	\$1.412 billion
2022-2025	\$378 million/year	\$1.512 billion*
2026-2030	\$403 million/year	\$1.612 billion*

\*Per spending cap found in: 220 ILCS 5/8-103B(m)

- Voltage Optimization: \$500 million
- Total spending through 2030: \$5.036 billion
- Smart Grid authorized investment (220 ILCS 5/16-108.5(b))
  - ComEd \$2.6 billion/10 yrs
  - Ameren \$0.625 billion/10 yrs
- ComEd total rate base for 2016: \$8.8 billion
  - Rate base additions: \$1.487 million
  - $_{\circ}$  2018 EE spending increases rate base additions by 23%

6

### Effect of Amortization on Energy Efficiency Incentives and Practices

- Shift from pass-through to amortized cost recovery, lower initial bills, higher bills in the long run.
  - EE becomes a profit center as shareholders receive a return on EE spending. 220 ILCS 5/8-103B.
- EE spending represents an increase of ~20%-30% in annual Smart Grid rate base investment driving up consumer rates over time
  - EE incentive structure can increase ROE 200 basis points (for example, from 8.34% to 10.34%) 220 ILCS 5/8-103B(g)(7)/
  - Low-income EE costs more for less savings and effect may be to discourage spending on low-income customers.
  - In 2018-2021, less than 10% of total EE spending for low-income customers proposed (25% of residential spending), but 47% of residential customers qualify as low-income. 220 ILCS 5/8-103(f)(4).
- Substantial shift in spending and recovery from residential to commercial and industrial classes expected.
  - Residential programs: 35% (cost to resid. 45% with Misc)
  - Commercial and industrial programs: 42% (cost to C&I 55% with Misc)
  - Miscellaneous (VO, R&D, market transformation, administration): 23%

### EE spending will steadily increase rates

#### ComEd's Energy Efficiency Revenue Requirement Effect Before >10 MW removed



### **Renewable Energy - Rate Effect**

- RPS cost cap not changed. 20 ILCS 3855/1-75(c)(2)
- Solar rebates added to rate base will create a new rate base item. 220 ILCS 5/16-107.6.
- Increased renewable energy may put downward pressure on supply prices.
- Increased <u>distributed</u> generation will reduce usage levels.
  - Illinois DG at low levels: <1,000 rooftop solar</li>
  - Usage levels held steady or declined despite little DG
  - Increased DG can be expected to be another cause of decreased usage

# **Delivery Charges and Usage**

CHARGES: ZECs, EE and solar rebates together will increase ComEd revenue requirement by more than 25% from current \$2.7 billion over the next 10 years.

USAGE: EE and DG provisions of FEJA expected to drive down usage



Key questions: will *increased charges* due to greater EE spending and amortization, ZECs, and other infrastructure be offset by *decreased costs* due to reduced usage?

## Growing Utility Revenue Requirement; Declining Usage

- Delivery utilities still monopolies providing an essential service.
- If supply charges stay low or decline further, larger portion of energy bills will be for expensive monopoly services. Supply savings may not offset increased delivery charges.
- As use of the grid declines, fewer customers pay for increasingly expensive monopoly services, increasing per unit cost.
- Key challenge is to rein in spending to correspond with anticipated declining usage.

# Conclusion

- FEJA will drive up rate base and customer costs.
- FEJA will drive down usage.
- Ultimate effect of FEJA will vary by individual customers:
  - Customers who reduce usage will have lower total supply charges, but savings may be diminished by low supply prices.
  - All customers will see higher per unit delivery charges, which cannot be avoided.
- Declining usage should be addressed by identifying practices that reduce delivery services costs to track reduced usage.
- The "next grid" must recognize declining usage and reject extraordinary regulatory attempts:
  - To protect or expand utility revenues,
  - $_{\circ}$  To expand utility investments beyond the essential delivery function,
  - To expand non-by-passable or fixed charges, or adopt unfair and unpredictable rate design.