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## Overview

- Market Characteristics
- Standard Measures of Market Competitiveness
$\lrcorner$ A Model of Customer Switching
$\lrcorner$ Alternative Market Share Measures AMS and NMMS
- Lessons from Telecommunications


## Characteristics of a Perfectly Competitive Market

- Many buyers and sellers who are price takers
- No barriers to entry or exit

Mobility of factors of production
$\lrcorner$ Perfect information
$\square$ Profit-maximizing firms

## Characteristics of Network Industries

- Customer is 'attached" to a fixed network
- Network maintained by regulated entity (may also be default provider)
$\lrcorner$ Customer must be linked with a provider during 'new connect'
- Purchases automatically recur unless the customer switches


# Characteristics that Impact Market Share Measurement 

- Passive Demand
- Presence of a Defáalt Provider
- Imperfect Information

Unique Characteristics of Electric Markets

- Inelastic Demand
- Peak Characteristics
- Transmission Constraints
$\lrcorner$ Properties of Electricity


## Standard Measures of Market Competitiveness

- Structure
- Size and number of competitors
- Conduct
- Pricing and marketing strategies and behavior
- Performance
- Profitability


## Structure Measures

- Regular Market Share (unit/revenue)
- Alcoa (1945) - Judge Learned Hand stated that $90 \%$ of a market "is enough to constitute a monopoly; it is doubtful whether 60 or 64 \% would be enough; and certainly 33 percent is not."
$\lrcorner$ Herfindahl Index - Merger Guidelines
If H is over 1800 , "highly concentrated." If 1000-1800, "moderately concentrated." Under 1000,"unconcentrated."


## Passive vs, Active Demand

- Network Industries - provider decision once, contifinues untill switch
- Other Markets, active choice of brand for each purchase


## Implications for Market Share

- Traditional analysis implicitly assumes active demand
- Total Market Share overemphasizes past decisions
$\lrcorner$ Extremely affected by how decision is introduced


## Model

- Two stage - searching and switching
- H - shopping cost
$\lrcorner$ W - incumbent brand equity
$\lrcorner E$ - effort expended to switch


## First Stage - Shopping

- Customer will shop if $\left[\mathrm{PI}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right] \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}>\mathrm{H}+$ W + E
- So customers shop with higher usage than

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}>(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{E}) /\left(\mathrm{PI}^{\mathrm{I}}-\alpha \mathrm{PI}\right)=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{x}}
$$

## Second Stage - Switch

- Customer will switch if [PI-PC]q $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ > W + E
- So customers switch with higher usage than
$q_{i}>(W+E) /\left(P^{I}-P^{C}\right)=q_{s}$
Usage M.S. is less than \# of customer M.S.


## Active MS and New Move MS

Entire Customer Base

Movers
switchers

Shoppers
switchers

AMS describes real-time decisions, but is difficult to measure.

- NMMS approximates AMS when customers can choose during new-connect


## New Move Market Share

- Easy to measure: supplier choices of new coninects are easily observable
- Reflects decisions during an 'active choice' time
$\lrcorner$ 'Real Time' measure showing current activity
- Highlights the importance of operational details (sign-up procedures)


# Lessons From Telecommunications 

- "Success" Case - Long Distance
- "Failure" Case - Local


## CHART A1.2 - INDICATORS OF AT\&T MARKET SHARE



## Lessons firom Long Distance

- Market share for the incumbent may fall slowly and take a long time to decline to competitive level
- Access charges were discounted to competitors
$\lrcorner$ Competitive state may mean only a few large providers
- Short run excess profits may earned by incumbent - attracts entry


## ILEC Market Share by Time Period
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- End Line Share
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## Lessons firom Local Telecommunications

- Barriers to entry is the single most important factor in determining competition
$\lrcorner$ "All competition is local"
$\lrcorner$ Mere presence of competitors is insufficient


## Conclusions

- Tradjitional Market Share measures do not accurately reflect current decisions
- Active Market Share is a better approach, but is hard to measure
$\lrcorner$ New Mover Market Share approximates AMS and is easy to measure
- Barriers to entry must be monitored
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